arXiv:math/0401387v1 [math.RT] 27 Jan 2004

Representations of trigonometric Cherednik algebras of rank 1 in positive characteristic

Frédéric Latour

February 1, 2008

1 Introduction

Cherednik's double affine Hecke algebras are an important class of algebras attached to root systems. They were introduced in [Che95] as a tool of proving Macdonald's conjectures, but are also interesting by themselves, since they provide universal deformations of twisted group algebras of double affine Weyl groups. One may distinguish rational, trigonometric, and elliptic Cherednik algebras, which contain 0, 1, and 2 copies of the root lattice, respectively (rational and trigonometric algebras are degenerations of the elliptic ones; see [EG02]).

Development of representation theory of Cherednik algebras (in particular, description of all irreducible finite dimensional representations) is an important open problem. In the characteristic zero case, it is solved completely only for type A, while in other types only partial results are available (see [EG02],[BEG03], and [CO] for the rank 1 case). In positive characteristic, the rank 1 case (in the more general setting of complex reflection groups) is settled by the author in [Lat], after which the higher rank case (of type A) was considered in [FG].

The goal of this paper is to extend the results of [Lat] to the trigonometric case. That is, we study the representation theory of trigonometric Cherednik algebras in positive characteristic p in the simplest case of rank 1. Our main result is a complete description of irreducible representations of such algebras.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we state the main results.

In Section 3, we prove the results for the "classical" case, i.e. the case when the "Planck's constant" t is zero. In this case, generic irreducible representations have dimension 2; one-dimensional representations exist when the "coupling constant" k is zero.

In Section 4, we prove the results for the "quantum" case, i.e. the case when the "Planck's constant" t is nonzero. In this case, generic irreducible representations have dimension $2p$; smaller representations exist when the "coupling constant" k is an element of $\mathbf{F}_p \subset \mathbf{k}$; namely, if k is an integer with $0 \leq k \leq p-1$, then there exist irreducible representations of dimensions $p-k$ and $p+k$.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks his adviser Pavel Etingof for posing the problem and useful discussions, as well as for helping to write an introduction. The work of the author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) grant DMS-9988796 and by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Julie Payette research scholarship.

2 Statement of Results

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p, where $p \neq 2$. Let $t, k \in \mathbf{k}$, and let $\mathbf{H}(t,k)$ be the algebra (over $\mathbf{k}$) generated by $\mathsf{X}, \mathsf{X}^{-1}, \mathsf{s}$ and y, subject to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{sX} &= \mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{s} && (1)\\ \mathsf{s}^2 &= 1 && (2)\\ \mathsf{sy}+\mathsf{ys} &= -k && (3)\\ \mathsf{XyX}^{-1} &= \mathsf{y}-t+k\mathsf{s}. && (4)\end{aligned}$$

We will classify the irreducible representations of $\mathbf{H}(t,k)$. Now, for $t \neq 0$, $\mathbf{H}(t,k)$ is clearly isomorphic to $\mathbf{H}(1,\frac{k}{t})$ under the map

$$\mathsf{X} \mapsto \mathsf{X}, \mathsf{s} \mapsto \mathsf{s}, \mathsf{y} \mapsto \frac{1}{t}\mathsf{y}.$$

Thus it is sufficient to classify irreducible representations of $\mathbf{H}(0,k)$ and $\mathbf{H}(1,k)$. For brevity we will use the notation $\mathbf{H}_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{H}(0,k)$ and $\mathbf{H}_1 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{H}(1,k)$, assuming that k has been fixed once and for all.

2.1 Irreducible representations of $\mathbf{H}_0$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $k \neq 0$. Then the irreducible representations of $\mathbf{H}_0$ are the following:*

- *For $a, \beta \in \mathbf{k}$, $a, \beta \neq 0$, we have a two-dimensional representation $V_{0,1}^{\beta,a}$ with basis $\{v_0, v_1\}$, defined by the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{y}v_0 &= \beta v_0,\\ \mathsf{y}v_1 &= -\beta v_1,\\ \mathsf{X}v_0 &= a v_0 - \frac{k^2}{4\beta^2}v_1,\\ \mathsf{X}v_1 &= v_0 + \left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{k^2}{4a\beta^2}\right)v_1,\\ \mathsf{s}v_0 &= -\frac{k}{2\beta}v_0 + \frac{k^3-4k\beta^2}{8a\beta^3}v_1,\\ \mathsf{s}v_1 &= -\frac{2a\beta}{k}v_0 + \frac{k}{2\beta}v_1;\end{aligned}$$

- *For $a = \pm 1, b \in \mathbf{k}$, we have a two-dimensional representation $V_{0,2}^{a,b}$ with basis $\{v_0, v_1\}$, defined by the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{y}v_0 &= 0,\\ \mathsf{y}v_1 &= v_0,\\ \mathsf{s}v_0 &= v_0 - kv_1,\\ \mathsf{s}v_1 &= -v_1,\\ \mathsf{X}v_0 &= a(v_0 - kv_1),\\ \mathsf{X}v_1 &= bv_0 + (a-kb)v_1.\end{aligned}$$

$V_{0,1}^{\beta,a}$ and $V_{0,1}^{\beta',a'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\beta' = \beta, a' = a$ or $\beta' = -\beta, a' = \frac{4\beta^2-k^2}{4a\beta^2}$. $V_{0,2}^{a,b}$ and $V_{0,2}^{a',b'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $a = a'$ and $b = b'$. Furthermore, representations with different subscripts are never isomorphic.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $k = 0$. Then the irreducible representations of $\mathbf{H}_0$ are the following:*

- *For $a, \beta \in \mathbf{k}$, $a, \beta \neq 0$, we have a two-dimensional representation $V_{0,3}^{\beta,a}$ with basis $\{v_0, v_1\}$, defined by the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{y}v_0 &= \beta v_0,\\ \mathsf{y}v_1 &= -\beta v_1,\\ \mathsf{X}v_0 &= a v_0,\\ \mathsf{X}v_1 &= \frac{1}{a}v_1,\\ \mathsf{s}v_0 &= v_1,\\ \mathsf{s}v_1 &= v_0;\end{aligned}$$

- *For $a \in \mathbf{k}$, $a \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$, we have a two-dimensional representation $V_{0,4}^{a}$ with basis $\{v_0, v_1\}$, defined by the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{y}v_0 &= 0,\\ \mathsf{y}v_1 &= 0,\\ \mathsf{X}v_0 &= a v_0,\\ \mathsf{X}v_1 &= \frac{1}{a}v_1,\\ \mathsf{s}v_0 &= v_1,\\ \mathsf{s}v_1 &= v_0;\end{aligned}$$

- *For $a = \pm 1, b = \pm 1$, we have a one-dimensional representation $V_{0,5}^{a,b}$ on which y, X and s act as $0, a$ and b respectively.*

$V_{0,3}^{\beta,a}$ and $V_{0,3}^{\beta',a'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\beta' = \beta, a' = a$ or $\beta' = -\beta, a' = \frac{1}{a}$. $V_{0,4}^{a}$ and $V_{0,4}^{a'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $a' = a$ or $a' = \frac{1}{a}$. $V_{0,5}^{a,b}$ and $V_{0,5}^{a',b'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $a' = a, b' = b$. Furthermore, representations with different subscripts are never isomorphic.

2.2 Irreducible representations of $\mathbf{H}_1$

Proposition 2.3. *Let $k \notin \mathbf{F}_p$. Then the irreducible representations of $\mathbf{H}_1$ are the following:*

- *For $\mu, d \in \mathbf{k}, d \neq 0, b = (\mu^p - \mu)^2$ with $\frac{k}{2}$ not a root of $f(y) = (y^p - y)^2 - b$, and also for $\mu = \pm\frac{k}{2}, d \neq 0$, we have a $2p$-dimensional representation $V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$ with basis $\{v_{\mu+j}, v_{-\mu+j}, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$, defined by the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{y}v_\beta &= \beta v_\beta, \quad \beta = \pm\mu, \pm\mu+1, \ldots, \pm\mu+p-1; && (5)\\ \mathsf{s}v_{-\mu-j} &= -\frac{1}{\mu+j}v_{\mu+j} + \frac{k}{2(\mu+j)}v_{-\mu-j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1; && (6)\\ \mathsf{s}v_{\mu+j} &= \left(\frac{k^2}{4(\mu+j)} - (\mu+j)\right)v_{-\mu-j} - \frac{k}{2(\mu+j)}v_{\mu+j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1; && (7)\\ \mathsf{s}v_{-\mu} &= \frac{k}{2\mu}v_{-\mu} - \frac{d}{\mu}v_\mu; && (8)\\ \mathsf{s}v_\mu &= \left(\frac{k^2}{4d\mu} - \frac{\mu}{d}\right)v_{-\mu} - \frac{k}{2\mu}v_\mu; && (9)\\ \mathsf{X}v_\beta &= \mathsf{s}v_{-\beta-1}, \quad \beta = \pm\mu, \pm\mu+1, \ldots, \pm\mu+p-1; && (10)\end{aligned}$$

- *For $\theta = \pm 1$, we have a $2p$-dimensional representation $V_{1,2}^{\theta}$ with basis $\{v_j, w_j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$, defined by the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{y}v_j &= jv_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1; && (11)\\
\mathsf{y}w_j &= jw_j + v_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1; && (12)\\
\mathsf{s}v_0 &= -kw_0; && (13)\\
\mathsf{s}w_0 &= -\frac{1}{k}v_0; && (14)\\
\mathsf{s}v_{-j} &= \frac{1}{j}v_j + \frac{k}{2j}v_{-j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; && (15)\\
\mathsf{s}v_j &= \left(j - \frac{k^2}{4j}\right)v_{-j} - \frac{k}{2j}v_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; && (16)\\
\mathsf{s}w_{-j} &= \frac{1}{j^2}v_j + \frac{k}{2j^2}v_{-j} - \frac{1}{j}w_j + \frac{k}{2j}w_{-j} \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; && (17)\\
\mathsf{s}w_j &= \left(1 + \frac{k^2}{4j^2}\right)v_{-j} + \frac{k}{2j^2}v_j - \frac{k}{2j}w_j + \left(\frac{k^2}{4j} - j\right)w_{-j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; && (18)\\
\mathsf{X}v_j &= -\mathsf{s}v_{-j-1}, \quad j \neq \frac{p-1}{2}; && (19)\\
\mathsf{X}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} &= \theta\mathsf{s}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}; && (20)\\
\mathsf{X}w_j &= \mathsf{s}w_{-j-1}, \quad j \neq \frac{p-1}{2}; && (21)\\
\mathsf{X}w_{\frac{p-1}{2}} &= -\theta\mathsf{s}w_{\frac{p-1}{2}}. && (22)
\end{aligned}$$

$V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$ and $V_{1,1}^{\mu',d'}$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$(\mu' - \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p \text{ and } d' = d) \text{ or } (\mu' + \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p \text{ and } dd' = \prod_{c \in \mathbf{F}_p}\left(\frac{k^2}{4} - (\mu + c)^2\right)).$$

$V_{1,2}^{\theta}$ and $V_{1,2}^{\theta'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\theta = \theta'$ Furthermore, representations with different subscripts are never isomorphic.

Now, in the case where $k \in \mathbf{F}_p$, note that there is an isomorphism between $\mathbf{H}(1, k)$ and $\mathbf{H}(1, -k)$, given by

$$\mathsf{y} \mapsto \mathsf{y}, \mathsf{s} \mapsto -\mathsf{s}, \mathsf{X} \mapsto \mathsf{X}, k \mapsto -k.$$

So we may assume that k is an *even integer* with $0 \leq k \leq p-1$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let k be even with $2 \leq k \leq p-1$. Then the irreducible representations of $\mathbf{H}_1$ are the following:*

- *For $\mu, d \in \mathbf{k}, d \neq 0$, we have $V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$, defined as in Proposition 2.3.*
- *For $\theta = \pm 1$, we have a $(p-k)$-dimensional representation $V_{1,3}^{\theta}$ with basis $\{v_{\frac{k}{2}}, v_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, \ldots, v_{-\frac{k}{2}-1}\}$, defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{y}v_j &= jv_j, \quad j = \frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2} + 1 \ldots, -\frac{k}{2} - 1; && (23)\\
\mathsf{s}v_{-j} &= \frac{k}{2j}v_{-j} - \frac{1}{j}v_j, \quad j = \frac{k}{2} + 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; && (24)\\
\mathsf{s}v_j &= -jv_{-j} + \frac{k^2}{4j}v_{-j} - \frac{k}{2j}v_j, \quad j = \frac{k}{2} + 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; && (25)\\
\mathsf{s}v_{\frac{k}{2}} &= -v_{\frac{k}{2}}; && (26)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{X}v_j &= -\mathsf{s}v_{-j-1}, \quad j \neq \frac{p-1}{2}; \qquad (27) \\ \mathsf{X}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} &= \theta \mathsf{s} v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}. \qquad (28) \end{aligned}$$

- *For $\theta = \pm 1$, we have a $(p+k)$-dimensional representation $V_{1,4}^{\theta}$ with basis $\{v_j, w_i, j = 0, \dots, p-1, i = -\frac{k}{2}, \dots, \frac{k}{2}-1\}$, defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{y}v_j &= jv_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1; \qquad (29) \\
\mathsf{y}w_j &= jw_j + v_j, \quad j = -\frac{k}{2}, -\frac{k}{2}+1, \dots, \frac{k}{2}-1; \qquad (30) \\
\mathsf{s}v_0 &= -kw_0; \qquad (31) \\
\mathsf{s}w_0 &= -\frac{1}{k}v_0; \qquad (32) \\
\mathsf{s}v_{-j} &= \frac{1}{j}v_j + \frac{k}{2j}v_{-j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, \frac{k}{2}-1, \frac{k}{2}+1, \frac{p-1}{2}; \qquad (33) \\
\mathsf{s}v_j &= \left(j - \frac{k^2}{4j}\right) v_{-j} - \frac{k}{2j}v_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, \frac{k}{2}-1, \frac{k}{2}+1, \frac{p-1}{2}; \qquad (34) \\
\mathsf{s}v_{-\frac{k}{2}} &= v_{-\frac{k}{2}}; \qquad (35) \\
\mathsf{s}v_{\frac{k}{2}} &= 2v_{-\frac{k}{2}} - v_{\frac{k}{2}}; \qquad (36) \\
\mathsf{s}w_{-j} &= \frac{1}{j^2}v_j + \frac{k}{2j^2}v_{-j} - \frac{1}{j}w_j + \frac{k}{2j}w_{-j} \quad j = 1, \dots, \frac{k}{2}-1; \qquad (37) \\
\mathsf{s}w_j &= \left(1 + \frac{k^2}{4j^2}\right) v_{-j} + \frac{k}{2j^2}v_j - \frac{k}{2j}w_j + \left(\frac{k^2}{4j} - j\right) w_{-j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, \frac{k}{2}-1; \qquad (38) \\
\mathsf{s}w_{-\frac{k}{2}} &= -\frac{2}{k}v_{\frac{k}{2}} + \frac{2}{k}v_{-\frac{k}{2}} + w_{-\frac{k}{2}}; \qquad (39) \\
\mathsf{X}v_j &= -\mathsf{s}v_{-j-1}, \quad j \neq \frac{p-1}{2}; \qquad (40) \\
\mathsf{X}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} &= \theta \mathsf{s} v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}; \qquad (41) \\
\mathsf{X}w_j &= = \mathsf{s}w_{-j-1}, \quad j = -\frac{k}{2}, \dots, \frac{k}{2}-1. \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

- *For $c \in \mathbf{k}$, we have a $2p$-dimensional representation $V_{1,5}^{c}$ with basis $\{v_j, w_i, u_l, j = 0, \dots, p-1, i = -\frac{k}{2}, \dots, \frac{k}{2}-1, l = \frac{k}{2}, \dots, -\frac{k}{2}-1\}$, defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{y}v_j &= jv_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1; \qquad (43) \\
\mathsf{y}w_j &= jw_j + v_j, \quad j = -\frac{k}{2}, -\frac{k}{2}+1, \dots, \frac{k}{2}-1; \qquad (44) \\
\mathsf{s}v_0 &= w_0; \qquad (45) \\
\mathsf{s}w_0 &= v_0; \qquad (46) \\
\mathsf{s}v_{-j} &= \frac{1}{j}v_j + \frac{k}{2j}v_{-j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, \frac{k}{2}-1, \frac{k}{2}+1, \frac{p-1}{2}; \qquad (47) \\
\mathsf{s}v_j &= \left(j - \frac{k^2}{4j}\right) v_{-j} - \frac{k}{2j}v_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, \frac{k}{2}-1, \frac{k}{2}+1, \frac{p-1}{2}; \qquad (48) \\
\mathsf{s}v_{-\frac{k}{2}} &= v_{-\frac{k}{2}}; \qquad (49) \\
\mathsf{s}v_{\frac{k}{2}} &= 2v_{-\frac{k}{2}} - v_{\frac{k}{2}}; \qquad (50)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathsf{s}w_{-j} = \frac{1}{j^2}v_j + \frac{k}{2j^2}v_{-j} - \frac{1}{j}w_j + \frac{k}{2j}w_{-j} \quad j = 1, \ldots, \frac{k}{2} - 1; \tag{51}$$

$$\mathsf{s}w_j = \left(1 + \frac{k^2}{4j^2}\right) v_{-j} + \frac{k}{2j^2}v_j - \frac{k}{2j}w_j + \left(\frac{k^2}{4j} - j\right) w_{-j}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, \frac{k}{2} - 1; \tag{52}$$

$$\mathsf{s}w_{-\frac{k}{2}} = -\frac{2}{k}v_{\frac{k}{2}} + \frac{2}{k}v_{-\frac{k}{2}} + w_{-\frac{k}{2}}; \tag{53}$$

$$\mathsf{s}u_j = -\frac{1}{j}u_{-j} - \frac{k}{2j}u_j, \quad j = \frac{k}{2} + 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; \tag{54}$$

$$\mathsf{s}u_{-j} = \left(\frac{k^2}{4j} - j\right) u_j + \frac{k}{2j}u_{-j}, \quad j = \frac{k}{2} + 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; \tag{55}$$

$$\mathsf{s}u_{\frac{k}{2}} = \frac{2c}{k}v_{-\frac{k}{2}} - u_{\frac{k}{2}}; \tag{56}$$

$$\mathsf{X}v_j = -\mathsf{s}v_{-j-1}, \quad j \neq \frac{p-1}{2}; \tag{57}$$

$$\mathsf{X}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \mathsf{s}u_{\frac{p-1}{2}}; \tag{58}$$

$$\mathsf{X}u_j = -\mathsf{s}u_{-j-1}, \quad j = \frac{k}{2}, \ldots, \frac{p-3}{2}, \frac{p+1}{2}, \ldots, -\frac{k}{2} - 1; \tag{59}$$

$$\mathsf{X}u_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \mathsf{s}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}. \tag{60}$$

$V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$ and $V_{1,1}^{\mu',d'}$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$(\mu' - \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p \text{ and } d' = d) \text{ or } (\mu' + \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p \text{ and } dd' = \prod_{c \in \mathbf{F}_p} \left(\frac{k^2}{4} - (\mu + c)^2\right)).$$

$V_{1,3}^{\theta}$ and $V_{1,3}^{\theta'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\theta = \theta'$. $V_{1,4}^{c}$ and $V_{1,4}^{c'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $c = c'$. $V_{1,5}^{c}$ and $V_{1,5}^{c'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $c = c'$. Furthermore, representations with different subscripts are never isomorphic.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $k = 0$. Then the representations of $\mathbf{H}_1$ are the following:*

- *For $\mu, d \in \mathbf{k}, d \neq 0, b = (\mu^p - \mu)^2$, we have $V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$, defined as in Proposition 2.3.*
- *For $c, \theta = \pm 1$, we have a p-dimensional representation $V_{1,6}^{c,\theta}$ with basis $\{v_j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$, defined by*

$$\mathsf{y}v_j = jv_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1; \tag{61}$$

$$\mathsf{s}v_0 = cv_0; \tag{62}$$

$$\mathsf{s}v_j = -jv_{-j}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; \tag{63}$$

$$\mathsf{s}v_{-j} = -\frac{1}{j}v_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; \tag{64}$$

$$\mathsf{X}v_j = \mathsf{s}v_{-j-1}, \quad j \neq \frac{p-1}{2}; \tag{65}$$

$$\mathsf{X}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \theta \mathsf{s}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}. \tag{66}$$

- *For $c = \pm 1, a \in \mathbf{k}$, we have a $2p$-dimensional representation $V_{1,7}^{c,a}$ with basis $\{v_j, u_j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$, defined by*

$$\mathsf{y}v_j = jv_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1; \tag{67}$$

$$\mathsf{y}u_j = ju_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1; \tag{68}$$

$$\mathsf{s}v_0 = v_0; \tag{69}$$

$$\mathsf{s}u_0 = av_0 - u_0; \tag{70}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{s}v_{-j} &= -\frac{1}{j}v_j \quad j=1,2,\ldots,\frac{p-1}{2}; && (71)\\
\mathsf{s}v_j &= -jv_{-j} \quad j=1,2,\ldots,\frac{p-1}{2}; && (72)\\
\mathsf{s}u_j &= \frac{1}{j}u_{-j}, \quad j=1,2,\ldots,\frac{p-1}{2}; && (73)\\
\mathsf{s}u_{-j} &= ju_j, \quad j=1,2,\ldots,\frac{p-1}{2}; && (74)\\
\mathsf{X}v_j &= \mathsf{s}v_{-j-1}, \quad j\neq\frac{p-1}{2}; && (75)\\
\mathsf{X}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} &= \mathsf{s}u_{\frac{p-1}{2}}; && (76)\\
\mathsf{X}u_j &= \mathsf{s}u_{-j-1}, \quad j\neq\frac{p-1}{2}; && (77)\\
\mathsf{X}u_{\frac{p-1}{2}} &= \mathsf{s}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}. && (78)
\end{aligned}$$

$V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$ and $V_{1,1}^{\mu',d'}$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$(\mu'-\mu\in\mathbf{F}_p \text{ and } d'=d) \text{ or } (\mu'+\mu\in\mathbf{F}_p \text{ and } dd'=\prod_{c\in\mathbf{F}_p}\left(\frac{k^2}{4}-(\mu+c)^2\right)).$$

$V_{1,6}^{c,\theta}$ and $V_{1,6}^{c',\theta'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\theta=\theta'$. $V_{1,7}^{a}$ and $V_{1,7}^{a'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $a=a'$. Furthermore, representations with different subscripts are never isomorphic.

3 Proof of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2

Lemma 3.1 (PBW for $\mathbf{H}_0$, easy direction). *The elements*

$$\mathsf{s}^i\mathsf{X}^j\mathsf{y}^l, \qquad j,l\in\mathbf{Z}, l\geq 0, i\in\{0,1\}$$

span $\mathbf{H}_0$ over $\mathbf{k}$.

Proof. Given a product of $\mathsf{X},\mathsf{y},\mathsf{s},\mathsf{X}^{-1}$ in any order, one can ensure that the y's are to the right of all the X's by using $\mathsf{yX}=\mathsf{Xy}-k\mathsf{sX}$ repeatedly, and one can also ensure that the s's are to the left of all the X's and y's by using $\mathsf{Xs}=\mathsf{sX}^{-1}$ and $\mathsf{ys}=-k-\mathsf{sy}$ repeatedly. □

Lemma 3.2. *$\mathsf{X}+\mathsf{X}^{-1},\mathsf{y}^2$ and $\mathsf{Xy}-\mathsf{yX}^{-1}$ belong to the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_0)$ of $\mathbf{H}_0$.*

Proof. First, let us show that $\mathsf{y}^2\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{Xy}^2 &= (\mathsf{yX}+k\mathsf{sX})\mathsf{y}\\
&= \mathsf{yXy}+k\mathsf{sXy}\\
&= \mathsf{y}(\mathsf{yX}+k\mathsf{sX})+k\mathsf{s}(\mathsf{yX}+k\mathsf{sX})\\
&= \mathsf{y}^2\mathsf{X}+k(\mathsf{ys}+\mathsf{sy})\mathsf{X}+k^2\mathsf{s}^2\mathsf{X}\\
&= \mathsf{y}^2\mathsf{X}+-k^2\mathsf{X}+k^2\mathsf{X}\\
&= \mathsf{y}^2\mathsf{X};
\end{aligned}$$

thus $[\mathsf{X},\mathsf{y}^2]=0$. We also have

$$\mathsf{sy}^2 = (-\mathsf{ys}-k)\mathsf{y}=-\mathsf{ysy}-k\mathsf{y}=-\mathsf{y}(-\mathsf{ys}-k)-k\mathsf{y}=\mathsf{y}^2\mathsf{s};$$

thus $[\mathsf{s}, \mathsf{y}^2] = 0$. It follows that $\mathsf{y}^2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_0)$. Next, we show that $\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_0)$. We have

$$\mathsf{y}(\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1}) = \mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - k\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{y} + k\mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{s} = (\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1})\mathsf{y},$$

and

$$\mathsf{s}(\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1}) = \mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{s} + \mathsf{X}\mathsf{s} = (\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1})\mathsf{s}.$$

Thus $[\mathsf{y}, \mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1}] = [\mathsf{s}, \mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1}] = 0$, and so $\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_0)$. Finally, we show that $\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_0)$. First we note that

$$\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X} - \mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{y} = \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - (\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1})\mathsf{y} = \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}(\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1})) = \mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1},$$

and thus

$$\mathsf{X}(\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1}) = \mathsf{X}(\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X} - \mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{y}) = (\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1})\mathsf{X},$$

$$\mathsf{y}(\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1}) = \mathsf{y}(\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X} - \mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{y}) = \mathsf{y}^2\mathsf{X} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{y} = \mathsf{X}\mathsf{y}^2 - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{y} = (\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1})\mathsf{y},$$

and

$$\mathsf{s}(\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1}) = \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X} - \mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{y}) = -(\mathsf{y}\mathsf{s} + k)\mathsf{X} - \mathsf{X}\mathsf{s}\mathsf{y} = -\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{s} - k\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}(\mathsf{y}\mathsf{s} + k) = (\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1})\mathsf{s}.$$

Thus $\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_0)$. □

Corollary 3.3. $\mathbf{H}_0$ *is finitely generated as a module over its center.*

Proof. From Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we see that $\mathbf{H}_0$ is generated over its center by

$$\mathsf{s}^i\mathsf{X}^j\mathsf{y}^l, \qquad i, j, l \in \{0, 1\}.$$

□

Corollary 3.4. *Every irreducible* $\mathbf{H}_0$*-module is finite-dimensional over* $\mathbf{k}$.

Proof. Standard. □

Thus Schur's lemma implies that central elements of $\mathbf{H}_0$ act as scalars in any irreducible $\mathbf{H}_0$-module.

From this point, we will use the following notation: the eigenspace of y with eigenvalue β will be denoted $V[\beta]$.

Corollary 3.5. *Let V be an irreducible* $\mathbf{H}_0$*-module, and let β be an eigenvalue of* y. *Suppose $\beta \neq 0$. Then,*

$$V = V[\beta] \oplus V[-\beta],$$

and $\operatorname{dim} V[\beta] = \operatorname{dim} V[-\beta] = 1$.

Proof. Suppose $V[\beta] \neq 0$, and let $v \in V[\beta]$ be nonzero. From the proof of corollary 3.3, we know that V is spanned by

$$\{v, \mathsf{X}v, \mathsf{s}v, \mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}v\}.$$

Now let $w = \mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}v$; then

$$\mathsf{y}w = \mathsf{y}\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}v = -\mathsf{s}\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}v - k\mathsf{X}v = -\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y}v + k\mathsf{s}^2\mathsf{X}v - k\mathsf{X}v = -\beta\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}v = -\beta w.$$

Thus, $w \in V[-\beta]$. Clearly, $w \neq 0$, and thus $V[-\beta] \neq 0$. Now let $v' = 2\beta\mathsf{X}v - kw$. Then,

$$\mathsf{y}v' = 2\beta\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}v + \beta kw = 2\beta\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y}v - 2k\beta\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}v + \beta kw = 2\beta^2\mathsf{X}v - \beta kw = \beta v'.$$

Hence, $v' \in V[\beta]$. Also, if $w' = kv + 2\beta\mathsf{s}v$, then

$$\mathsf{y}w' = k\mathsf{y}v + 2\beta\mathsf{y}\mathsf{s}v = \beta kv - 2\beta\mathsf{s}\mathsf{y}v - 2\beta kv = -\beta kv - 2\beta\mathsf{s}\mathsf{y}v = -\beta w',$$

Hence, $w' \in V[-\beta]$. From this it follows that

$$V = V[\beta] \oplus V[-\beta].$$

Now let $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_0$ be the subalgebra of $\mathbf{H}_0$ generated by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_0)$ and $2\beta\mathsf{X} - k\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}$. It is clear that $V[\beta] = \overline{\mathbf{H}}v$. Since this is true for all nonzero $v \in V[\beta]$, it follows that $V[\beta]$ is an irreducible representation of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_0$. Since $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_0$ is commutative, we see that $V[\beta]$ is one dimensional. The same holds for $V[-\beta]$, and the corollary is proved. ☐

Corollary 3.6. *Assume $k \neq 0$. Let V be an irreducible $\mathbf{H}_0$-module, and suppose 0 is an eigenvalue of y. Then, $V = V_{gen}[0]$, the generalized eigenspace of 0. We also have $\mathsf{dim}\, V = 2$ and $\mathsf{dim}\, V[0] = 1$.*

Proof. Let $v \in V[0]$ be nonzero. From the proof of corollary 3.3, we know that V is spanned by

$$\{v, \mathsf{X}v, \mathsf{s}v, \mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}v\}.$$

Let $w = -\mathsf{s}v$; then,

$$\mathsf{y}w = -\mathsf{y}\mathsf{s}v = \mathsf{s}\mathsf{y}v + kv = kv.$$

Let $v' = \mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}v = \mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{s}v$; then,

$$\mathsf{y}v' = \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{s}v = \mathsf{X}^{-1}y\mathsf{s}v + k\mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{s}^2v = -\mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{s}\mathsf{y}v = 0.$$

Let $w' = -\mathsf{X}v = -\mathsf{s}v'$; then, as above, we have $\mathsf{y}w' = v'$.

So we have

$$\mathsf{y}v = 0, \quad \mathsf{y}w = kv, \quad \mathsf{y}v' = 0, \quad \mathsf{y}w' = kv';$$

therefore, $V = V_{\mathrm{gen}}[0]$ and $V[0]$ is spanned by v and v'. Now let $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_0$ be the subalgebra of $\mathbf{H}_0$ generated by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_0)$ and $\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}$. It is clear that $V[0] = \overline{\mathbf{H}}v$. Since this is true for all nonzero $v \in V[0]$, it follows that $V[0]$ is an irreducible representation of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_0$. Since $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_0$ is commutative, we see that $V[0]$ is one dimensional. The corollary follows from this. ☐

Corollary 3.7. *Assume $k = 0$. Let V be an irreducible $\mathbf{H}_0$-module, and suppose 0 is an eigenvalue of y. Then,*

$$V = V[0],$$

the eigenspace of 0. We also have

$$\mathsf{dim}\, V = \begin{cases} 1 & \textit{if } 1 \textit{ or } -1 \textit{ is an eigenvalue of } \mathsf{X} \\ 2 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. From the proof of corollary 3.6, we see that y acts on V as the zero operator. Let λ be an eigenvalue of X, let $V_{\mathsf{X}}[\lambda]$ denote the associated eigenspace and let $v \in V_{\mathsf{X}}[\lambda]$ be nonzero. From the proof of corollary 3.3, we know that V is spanned by $\{v, \mathsf{s}v\}$. Now

$$\mathsf{X}\mathsf{s}v = \mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}^{-1}v = \lambda^{-1}\mathsf{s}v,$$

so $\mathsf{s}v \in V_{\mathsf{X}}[\lambda^{-1}]$. Clearly, $\mathsf{s}v \neq 0$; thus, if $\lambda \neq \pm 1$, then

$$V = V_{\mathsf{X}}[\lambda] \oplus V_{\mathsf{X}}[\lambda^{-1}] \quad \text{and } \mathsf{dim}\, V = 2.$$

If $\lambda = \pm 1$, it follows that X and s commute as operators on V; since V is irreducible, this implies that $\mathsf{dim}\, V = 1$. ☐

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let $\beta \neq 0$, and let V be a two-dimensional representation of $\mathbf{H}_0$ in which $V[\beta]$ and $V[-\beta]$ both have dimension 1. Let $v_0 \in V[\beta]$, $v_1 \in V[-\beta]$ be nonzero. Let the matrices representing s and X with respect to the basis $\{v_0, v_1\}$ be as follows:

$$\mathsf{s} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 & \delta_0 \\ \gamma_1 & \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathsf{X} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & \omega_0 \\ \theta_1 & \omega_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First, we note that X and y cannot have a common eigenvector; for if $\mathsf{X}w = \gamma w$ and $\mathsf{y}w = \beta' w$, then $k\mathsf{s}w = \mathsf{X}\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1}w - \mathsf{y}w = 0$, and combining this with $\mathsf{s}^2 = 1$ gives $w = 0$. Hence, by scaling, we can assume that $\omega_0 = 1$.

Now the central element $\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1}$ acts on V as a scalar. The matrix representation of $\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{\det \mathsf{X}}(\theta_0 \det \mathsf{X} - \omega_1) & -\frac{\beta}{\det \mathsf{X}}(\det \mathsf{X} - 1) \\ \frac{\beta\theta_1}{\det \mathsf{X}}(\det \mathsf{X} - 1) & -\frac{\beta}{\det \mathsf{X}}(\theta_0 \det \mathsf{X} - \theta_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $0 = -\frac{\beta}{\det \mathsf{X}}(\det \mathsf{X} - 1)$, which means that $\det \mathsf{X} = 1$. Hence,

$$\theta_1 = \theta_0\omega_1 - 1. \tag{79}$$

Using (4), we see that $\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1} - \mathsf{y} - k\mathsf{s} = 0$. Using (79), we see that the matrix yrepresentation of $\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1} - \mathsf{y} - k\mathsf{s}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2\beta\theta_0\omega_1 - 2\beta - k\gamma_0 & -2\beta\theta_0 - k\delta_0 \\ 2\beta\theta_0\omega_1^2 - 2\beta\omega_1 - k\gamma_1 & -2\beta\theta_0\omega_1 + 2\beta - k\delta_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0 &= \frac{2\beta}{k}(\theta_0\omega_1 - 1), & (80) \\ \gamma_1 &= \frac{2\beta}{k}\left(\theta_0\omega_1^2 - \omega_1\right), & (81) \\ \delta_0 &= -\frac{2\beta}{k}\theta_0 & (82) \\ \delta_1 &= \frac{2\beta}{k}(1 - \theta_0\omega_1). & (83) \end{aligned}$$

Using (2), we see that $\mathsf{s}^2 = 1$. Using (80)–(83), we see that the matrix representation of s^2 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{4\beta^2}{k^2}(1 - \theta_0\omega_1) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{4\beta^2}{k^2}(1 - \theta_0\omega_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1}{\theta_0}\left(1 - \frac{k^2}{4\beta^2}\right). \tag{84}$$

Using (79)–(84), we see that V is isomorphic to $V_{0,1}^{\beta,\theta_0}$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that for all $a, \beta \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}$, $V_{0,1}^{\beta,a}$ is a representation of $\mathbf{H}_0$; furthermore, each eigenvector of y clearly generates $\mathbf{H}_0$, and thus $V_{0,1}^{\beta,\theta_0}$ is irreducible.

Now the eigenvalues of y in $V_{0,1}^{\beta,a}$ are β and $-\beta$, and $2\beta(\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X}^{-1}) - 2\beta^2(\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1})$ acts on $V_{0,1}^{\beta,a}$ as $\frac{k^2 - 4\beta^2}{a}\,\mathsf{Id}$, while for $\beta = \frac{k}{2}$, $\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1}$ acts on $V_{0,1}^{\beta,a}$ as $a\,\mathsf{Id}$. From this it follows that $V_{0,1}^{\beta,a}$ and $V_{0,1}^{\beta',a'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\beta' = \beta, a' = a$ or $\beta' = -\beta, a' = \frac{4\beta^2 - k^2}{4a\beta^2}$.

Now let V be a two-dimensional representation of $\mathbf{H}_0$ in which $V[0]$ has dimension 1 and $V_{\text{gen}}[0]$ has dimension 2. Let $v_0, v_1 \in V$ be nonzero elements such that $\mathsf{y}v_0 = 0, \mathsf{y}v_1 = v_0$. Let the matrices representing s and X with respect to the basis $\{v_0, v_1\}$ be as follows:

$$\mathsf{s} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 & \delta_0 \\ \gamma_1 & \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathsf{X} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & \omega_0 \\ \theta_1 & \omega_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now the matrix representation of $\mathsf{sy} + \mathsf{ys} + k$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 + k & \gamma_0 + \delta_1 \\ 0 & \gamma_1 + k \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3) thus implies that $\gamma_1 = -k$ and $\gamma_0 = -\delta_1$. Scaling, we may assume that $\gamma_0 = 1$. Next, we note that $\mathsf{s}^2 - 1$ acts on V as $-\delta_0 k \,\mathsf{Id}$; (2) thus implies that $\delta_0 = 0$. We then see that the matrix representation of $\mathsf{Xy} - \mathsf{yX} - k\mathsf{sX}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\theta_1 - k\theta_0 & \theta_0 - \omega_1 - k\omega_0 \\ k(k\theta_0 + \theta_1) & \theta_1 + k^2\omega_0 + k\omega_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(4) thus implies that $\theta_1 = -k\theta_0, \theta_0 = \omega_1 + k\omega_0$. Finally, the matrix representation of $\mathsf{XsX} - \mathsf{s}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_0^2 - 1 & 0 \\ -k(\theta_0^2 - 1) & -\theta_0^2 + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(4) thus implies that $\theta_0 = \pm 1$.

Thus V is isomorphic to $V_{0,2}^{\theta_0,\omega_0}$. It is easy to see that $V_{0,2}^{a,b}$ is indeed a representation of $\mathbf{H}_0$; furthermore, each eigenvector of y clearly generates $\mathbf{H}_0$, and thus $V_{0,2}^{a,b}$ is irreducible. Now $\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1}$ acts on $V_{0,2}^{a,b}$ as $(2a - kb)\,\mathsf{Id}$, while $\mathsf{Xy} - \mathsf{yX}^{-1}$ acts as $-ak\,\mathsf{Id}$. Therefore, $V_{0,2}^{a,b}$ and $V_{0,2}^{a',b'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $a' = a, b' = b$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Let $\beta \neq 0$, and let V be a two-dimensional representation of $\mathbf{H}_0$ in which $V[\beta]$ and $V[-\beta]$ both have dimension 1. Let $v_0 \in V[\beta]$, $v_1 \in V[-\beta]$ be nonzero. Let the matrices representing s and X with respect to the basis $\{v_0, v_1\}$ be as follows:

$$\mathsf{s} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 & \delta_0 \\ \gamma_1 & \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathsf{X} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & \omega_0 \\ \theta_1 & \omega_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First, we note thet X and y commute, so they must have a common eigenvector; for the moment, let us assume that $\omega_0 = 0$.

Now the central element $\mathsf{Xy} - \mathsf{yX}^{-1}$ acts on V as a scalar. The matrix representation of $\mathsf{Xy} - \mathsf{yX}^{-1}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{\det \mathsf{X}}(\theta_0 \det \mathsf{X} - \omega_1) & 0 \\ \frac{\beta\theta_1}{\det \mathsf{X}}(\det \mathsf{X} - 1) & -\frac{\beta}{\det \mathsf{X}}(\omega_1 \det \mathsf{X} - \theta_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $0 = \frac{\beta\theta_1}{\det \mathsf{X}}(\det \mathsf{X} - 1)$, which means that $\det \mathsf{X} = 1$. Hence,

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1}{\theta_0}. \tag{85}$$

Using (4), we see that $\mathsf{XyX}^{-1} - \mathsf{y} = 0$. Using (85), we see that the matrix representation of $\mathsf{XyX}^{-1} - \mathsf{y}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2\beta}{\theta_0}\theta_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $\theta_1 = 0$. (If we had assumed earlier that $\theta_1 = 0$, here we would get $\omega_0 = 0$.)

Using (1), we see that $\mathsf{ys} + \mathsf{sy} = 0$. Using (85), we see that the matrix representation of $\mathsf{ys} + \mathsf{sy}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2\beta\gamma_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\beta\delta_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we must have $\gamma_0 = \delta_1 = 0$, and thus s^2 acts on V as $\delta_0\gamma_1\,\mathsf{Id}$. Using (2), we see that $\mathsf{s}^2 = 1$; thus $\delta_0 = \frac{1}{\gamma_1}$. By scaling, we may assume that $\gamma_1 = 1$, and we see that $V = V_{0,3}^{\beta,\theta_0}$. It is clear that $V_{0,3}^{\beta,a}$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_0$ and that $V_{0,3}^{\beta,a}$ and $V_{0,3}^{\beta',a'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\beta' = \beta, a' = a$ or $\beta' = -\beta, a' = \frac{1}{a}$.

Now let V be a two-dimensional representation of $\mathbf{H}_0$ in which y acts as zero and X has eigenvalues λ and λ^{-1}, with $\lambda \neq \pm 1$. Let $v_0 \in V_{\mathsf{X}}[\lambda]$, $v_1 \in V_{\mathsf{X}}[\lambda^{-1}]$ be nonzero. Let the matrix representing s with respect to the basis $\{v_0, v_1\}$ be as follows:

$$\mathsf{s} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 & \delta_0 \\ \gamma_1 & \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using (1), we see that $\mathsf{X}\mathsf{s} - \mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}^{-1} = 0$. But the matrix representation of $\mathsf{X}\mathsf{s} - \mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}^{-1}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \frac{\lambda^2-1}{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_1 \frac{\lambda^2-1}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\lambda \neq \pm 1$, we see that $\gamma_0 = \delta_1 = 0$. Thus s^2 acts on V as $\gamma_1 \delta_0 \,\mathsf{Id}$. Using (2), we see that $\mathsf{s}^2 = 1$. Hence, $\delta_0 = \frac{1}{\gamma_1}$. By scaling, we may assume that $\gamma_1 = \delta_0 = 1$. Thus V must be isomorphic to $V_{0,4}^{\lambda}$. It is clear that $V_{0,4}^{a}$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_0$ and that $V_{0,4}^{a}$ and $V_{0,4}^{a'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $a' = a$ or $a' = \frac{1}{a}$.

Finally, the classification of one-dimensional representations of $\mathbf{H}_0$ is trivial. $\square$

4 Proof of Propositions 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5

Lemma 4.1 (PBW for $\mathbf{H}_1$, easy direction). *The elements*

$$\mathsf{s}^i \mathsf{X}^j \mathsf{y}^l, \qquad j, l \in \mathbf{Z}, l \geq 0, i \in \{0, 1\}$$

span $\mathbf{H}_1$ *over* $\mathbf{k}$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of lemma 3.1. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. $\mathsf{X}^p + \mathsf{X}^{-p}$ *and* $(\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2$ *belong to the center* $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_1)$ *of* $\mathbf{H}_1$.

Proof. First, let us show that $\mathsf{X}^p + \mathsf{X}^{-p} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{y}(\mathsf{X}^p + \mathsf{X}^{-p}) &= \mathsf{y}(\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1})^p \\ &= (\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} + \mathsf{X} - k\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{X}^{-1} + k\mathsf{X}^{-1}\mathsf{s})(\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1})^{p-1} \\ &= (\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1})\mathsf{y}(\mathsf{X}^{p-1} + \mathsf{X}^{-p+1}) + (\mathsf{X} - \mathsf{X}^{-1})(\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1})^{p-1} \\ &= \cdots \\ &= (\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1})^p \mathsf{y} + p(\mathsf{X} - \mathsf{X}^{-1})(\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^{-1})^{p-1} \\ &= (\mathsf{X}^p + \mathsf{X}^{-p})\mathsf{y}; \end{aligned}$$

thus $[\mathsf{y}, \mathsf{X}^p + \mathsf{X}^{-p}] = 0$. We also have

$$\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}^p = \mathsf{X}^{-p}\mathsf{s}, \quad \mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}^{-p} = \mathsf{X}^p\mathsf{s};$$

thus $[\mathsf{s}, \mathsf{X}^p + \mathsf{X}^{-p}] = 0$. It follows that $\mathsf{X}^p + \mathsf{X}^{-p} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_1)$. Next, we show that $(\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{X}(\mathsf{y} + 1)^2 &= \mathsf{X}\mathsf{y}^2 + 2\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} + \mathsf{X} \\ &= (\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X} - \mathsf{X} + k\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X})\mathsf{y} + 2\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} + \mathsf{X} \\ &= \mathsf{y}(\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X} - \mathsf{X} + k\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}) - \mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} + k\mathsf{s}(\mathsf{y}\mathsf{X} - \mathsf{X} + k\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}) + 2\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} + \mathsf{X} \\ &= \mathsf{y}^2\mathsf{X} + k(\mathsf{y}\mathsf{s} + \mathsf{s}\mathsf{y} + k)\mathsf{X} + (\mathsf{X}\mathsf{y} - \mathsf{y}\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X} - k\mathsf{s}\mathsf{X}) \\ &= \mathsf{y}^2\mathsf{X}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathsf{X}(\mathsf{y} + 1)^2 = \mathsf{y}^2\mathsf{X}$, and thus $\mathsf{X}g(\mathsf{y} + 1) = g(\mathsf{y})\mathsf{X}$ for all even polynomials g. In particular, $[\mathsf{X}, (\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2] = 0$. Furthermore, we have $[\mathsf{s}, \mathsf{y}^2] = 0$ (for the same reason as in the case $t = 0$). It follows that $(\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{H}_1)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. $\mathbf{H}_1$ *is finitely generated as a module over its center.*

Proof. From Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we see that $\mathbf{H}_1$ is generated over its center by

$$\mathsf{s}^i\mathsf{X}^j\mathsf{y}^l, \qquad i \in \{0,1\}, j \in \{-p+1,-p+2,\ldots,p-1,p\}, l \in \{0,1,\ldots,2p-1\},$$

□

Corollary 4.4. *Every irreducible* $\mathbf{H}_1$*-module is finite-dimensional over* $\mathbf{k}$.

Proof. Standard. □

Consider the following elements of $\mathbf{H}_1$:

$$\mathsf{A} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathsf{sX}, \quad \mathsf{B} = \mathsf{sy} + \frac{k}{2}.$$

These elements were introduced by Cherednik in [Che97] and are called *intertwiners*. We note that B is also equal to $-\mathsf{ys} - \frac{k}{2}$.

Lemma 4.5.

$$\mathsf{A}^2 = 1, \quad \mathsf{B}^2 = -\mathsf{y}^2 + \frac{k^2}{4}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathsf{A}^2 = \mathsf{sXsX} = \mathsf{ssX}^{-1}\mathsf{X} = 1$$

and

$$\mathsf{B}^2 = (\mathsf{sy} + k)\mathsf{sy} + \frac{k^2}{4} = -\mathsf{yssy} + \frac{k^2}{4} = -\mathsf{y}^2 + \frac{k^2}{4}.$$

□

Lemma 4.6.

$$\mathsf{Ay} = (-\mathsf{y} - 1)\mathsf{A}, \quad \mathsf{By} = -\mathsf{yB}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathsf{Ay} = \mathsf{sXy} = \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{yX} - \mathsf{X} + k\mathsf{sX}) = -\mathsf{ysX} - k\mathsf{X} - \mathsf{sX} + k\mathsf{X} = (-\mathsf{y} - 1)\mathsf{A},$$

and

$$\mathsf{By} = -\mathsf{ysy} - \frac{k}{2}\mathsf{y} = \mathsf{y}^2\mathsf{s} + \frac{k}{2}\mathsf{y} = -\mathsf{yB}.$$

□

Corollary 4.7. *Let V be a representation of* $\mathbf{H}_1$. *Then*

$$\mathsf{A} : V[\beta] \to V[\beta - 1]$$

is an isomorphism and

$$\mathsf{B} : V[\beta] \to V[\beta]$$

is a homomorphism. B *is an isomorphism if and only if* $\beta \neq \pm\frac{k}{2}$. *The same result holds for generalized eigenspaces.*

Lemma 4.8. *Let V be an irreducible representation of* $\mathbf{H}_1$ *on which the central element* $(\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2$ *acts as* $b \neq 0$. *Then*

$$V \supset \oplus_{c \in \mathbf{F}_p} \left(V[\mu + c] \oplus V[-\mu + c]\right),$$

where μ is a root of the equation $(\mu^p - \mu)^2 = b$. Each eigenspace has dimension 1.

Proof. Let μ be an eigenvalue of y, and let $v \in V[\mu]$. Note that $bv = (\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2 v = (\mu^p - \mu)^2 v$, so we have $(\mu^p - \mu)^2 = b$. By corollary 4.7, we have the following homomorphisms:

$$V[\mu] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} V[-\mu-1] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} V[\mu+1] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} V[-\mu-2] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} V[\mu+2] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} V[-\mu-p+1] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} V[\mu+p-1] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} V[-\mu]. \quad (86)$$

If none of the eigenvalues in (86) is equal to $\frac{k}{2}$, then all of the homomorphisms in (86) are isomorphisms (by corollary 4.7). Otherwise, we may assume without loss of generality that $\mu = \frac{k}{2}$, and once again all of the homomorphisms in (86) are isomorphisms. Thus, $\mathsf{dim}\, V \geq 2p\, \mathsf{dim}\, V[\mu]$. Now the dimension of the algebra $\mathbf{H}_1/(\mathsf{X}^p + \mathsf{X}^{-p} = a, (\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2 = b)$ acting irreducibly on V is at most $8p^2$ (see the proof of corollary 4.3). Hence, $4p^2(\mathsf{dim}\, V[\mu])^2 = (\mathsf{dim}\, V)^2 \leq 8p^2$, which implies that $\mathsf{dim}\, V[\mu] = 1$. The result follows. ☐

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose $k \notin \mathbf{F}_p$. Let V be an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$ on which the central element $(\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2$ acts as 0. Then each generalized eigenspace $V_{gen}[c], c \in \mathbf{F}_p$ has dimension 2.*

Proof. Let μ be an eigenvalue of y, and let $v \in V[\mu]$. Note that $0 = (\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2 v = (\mu^p - \mu)^2 v$, so we have $\mu^p - \mu = 0$. Hence, $\mu \in \mathbf{F}_p$. By corollary 4.7, we have the following homomorphisms:

$$V_{\mathrm{gen}}[\mu] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{BA}} V_{\mathrm{gen}}[\mu+1] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{BA}} V_{\mathrm{gen}}[\mu+2] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{BA}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\mathsf{BA}} V_{\mathrm{gen}}[\mu-1] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{BA}} V_{\mathrm{gen}}[\mu]. \quad (87)$$

Since $k \notin \mathbf{F}_p$, none of the eigenvalues in (87) is equal to $\pm\frac{k}{2}$. By corollary 4.7, all of the homomorphisms in (87) are isomorphisms, and the eigenvalues of y are precisely the elements of $\mathbf{F}_p$. Thus, $\mathsf{dim}\, V = p\, \mathsf{dim}\, V_{\mathrm{gen}}[0]$. Now the dimension of the algebra $\mathbf{H}_1/(\mathsf{X}^p + \mathsf{X}^{-p} = a, (\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2 = 0)$ acting irreducibly on V is at most $8p^2$ (see the proof of corollary 4.3). Hence, $p^2(\mathsf{dim}\, V_{\mathrm{gen}}[0])^2 = (\mathsf{dim}\, V)^2 \leq 8p^2$, which implies that $\mathsf{dim}\, V_{\mathrm{gen}}[0] \leq 2$. Now let $v \in V[0]$; then

$$\mathsf{ys}v = -\mathsf{sy}v - kv = -kv.$$

Since $k \neq 0$, we conclude that $\mathsf{s}v \in V_{\mathrm{gen}}[0] \setminus V[0]$. Therefore, $\mathsf{dim}\, V_{\mathrm{gen}}[0] = 2$, and the result follows. ☐

Proof of Proposition 2.3. It is easy to show that if μ and d satisfy the conditions in the statement of Proposition 2.3, then $V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$ is a representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$. Furthermore, if $v \in V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$ is an eigenvector of y, we see that we can generate all of $V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$ by applying A and B. This implies that $V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$ is actually an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$. The same can be said of $V_{1,2}^{\theta}$.

Let V be an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$, and suppose that $(\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2$ acts on V as $b \neq 0$. For the moment, let us assume that $\pm\frac{k}{2}$ are not eigenvalues of y. Let v_μ be an eigenvector of y with eigenvalue μ, and let

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mu+j} &= (\mathsf{BA})^j v_\mu, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1 \\ v_{-\mu+j} &= \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{BA})^{j-1} v_\mu, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, p. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathsf{B}v_{-\mu} \in V[\mu]$; using lemma 4.8, we see that $\mathsf{B}v_{-\mu} = dv_\mu$, where $d \in \mathbf{k}$ is nonzero. From this we can deduce that (5)–(10) are satisfied. Thus $V_{1,1}^{\mu,d} \subset V$. By irreducibility of V, it follows that $V = V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$. Now we note that $(\mathsf{BA})^p$ acts as $d\,\mathsf{Id}$ on $V[\mu], V[\mu+1], \ldots, V[\mu+p-1]$ and as $\frac{1}{d}\prod_{c \in \mathbf{F}_p}\left(\frac{k^2}{4} - (\mu+c)^2\right)$ on $V[-\mu], V[-\mu+1], \ldots, V[-\mu+p-1]$. From this we can deduce that $V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$ and $V_{1,1}^{\mu',d'}$ are isomorphic if and only if ($\mu' - \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p$ and $d' = d$) or ($\mu' + \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p$ and $dd' = \prod_{c \in \mathbf{F}_p}\left(\frac{k^2}{4} - (\mu+c)^2\right)$). Now, if $\pm\frac{k}{2}$ are eigenvalues of y, then B^2 acts as zero on $V[\pm\frac{k}{2}]$, so either B acts as zero on $V[\frac{k}{2}]$, in which case we can use the above argument with $\mu = \frac{k}{2}$, or B acts as zero on $V[\frac{k}{2}]$, in which case we can use the above argument with $\mu = -\frac{k}{2}$.

Second, suppose that $(\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2$ acts on V as 0. Let v_0 be an eigenvector of y with eigenvalue 0, and let

$$\begin{aligned}
v_j &= (\mathsf{BA})^j v_0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; \\
v_{-j} &= -\mathsf{A}(\mathsf{BA})^{j-1} v_0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; \\
w_0 &= -\frac{1}{k}\mathsf{s} v_0; \\
w_j &= (\mathsf{BA})^j w_0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; \\
w_{-j} &= \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{BA})^{j-1} w_0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since A maps eigenspaces to eigenspaces and generalized eigenspaces to generalized eigenspaces, and since $\mathsf{A}^2 = 1$, we can use lemma 4.9 to conclude that

$$\mathsf{A} v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \theta v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \quad \mathsf{A} w_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \omega w_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \quad \theta, \omega = \pm 1.$$

From this we can deduce that (11)–(20) are satisfied, as well as

$$\mathsf{X} w_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \omega \mathsf{s} w_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \tag{88}$$

Now we know from (4) that

$$(\mathsf{Xy} - \mathsf{yX} + \mathsf{X} - k\mathsf{sX}) w_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \tag{89}$$

must be zero. Using (11)–(20) and (88), we see that the coefficient of $v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ in $\mathsf{Xy} w_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, -\mathsf{yX} w_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \mathsf{X} w_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ and $-k\mathsf{sX} w_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ are respectively $k(\theta - \omega), 0, 2k\omega$ and 0. From (89), we get $k(\theta + \omega) = 0$, which implies $\omega = -\theta$. Hence (22) is also satisfied. Thus $V_\theta^{1,2} \subset V$. By irreducibility of V, it follows that $V = V^\theta_{1,2}$. Since A acts on $V^\theta_{1,2}[\frac{p-1}{2}]$ through multiplication by θ, it is clear that $V^\theta_{1,2}$ and $V^{\theta'}_{1,2}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\theta = \theta'$. ☐

Lemma 4.10. *Let k be an even integer with $2 \leq k \leq p-1$. Let $V \neq 0$ be an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$ on which $(\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2$ acts as zero. Then $V\left[\frac{k}{2}\right] \neq 0$.*

Proof. From corollary 4.7, we have isomorphisms

$$V[0] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} V[-1] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} V[1] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} V[-2] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} V[2] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} V\left[-\frac{k}{2}\right] \tag{90}$$

and

$$V\left[\frac{k}{2}\right] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} V\left[-\frac{k}{2} - 1\right] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} V\left[\frac{k}{2} + 1\right] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} V\left[\frac{p-1}{2}\right].$$

Let us assume that $V\left[\frac{k}{2}\right] = 0$. Since $V \neq 0$, we must have $V[0] \neq 0$. Let $u \in V[0]$ be nonzero; then $\mathsf{ys}u = -\mathsf{sy}u - ku = -ku$. This means $V_{\text{gen}}[0] \setminus V[0]$ is nonempty. From the isomorphisms (90), we know that there exist $v, w \in V$ such that $\mathsf{y}v = -\frac{k}{2}v, \mathsf{y}w = -\frac{k}{2}w + v$. Since $V\left[\frac{k}{2}\right] = 0$, we see that $\mathsf{B}v = \mathsf{B}w = 0$. Thus,

$$-\frac{k}{2}v = \mathsf{sy}v = -\frac{k}{2}\mathsf{s}v \Longrightarrow \mathsf{s}v = v;$$

$$-\frac{k}{2}w = \mathsf{sy}w = -\frac{k}{2}\mathsf{s}w + \mathsf{s}v = -\frac{k}{2}\mathsf{s}w + v \Longrightarrow \mathsf{s}w = \frac{2}{k}v + w.$$

Hence

$$w = \mathsf{s}^2 w = \frac{2}{k}\mathsf{s}v + \mathsf{s}w = \frac{4}{k}v + w,$$

which is impossible. Therefore, $V\left[\frac{k}{2}\right] \neq 0$. ☐

Lemma 4.11. *Let V be an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$, and suppose that $\mathsf{B} : V[\frac{k}{2}] \to V[-\frac{k}{2}]$ is zero but $V[\frac{k}{2}] \neq 0$. Then*

$$V = V[\frac{k}{2}] \oplus V[\frac{k}{2}+1] \oplus \cdots \oplus V[-\frac{k}{2}-1].$$

Proof. Let

$$W = V[\frac{k}{2}] \oplus V[\frac{k}{2}+1] \oplus \cdots \oplus V[-\frac{k}{2}-1].$$

Since V is irreducible, it is enough to show that W is a subrepresentation. Since B acts as zero on $V[\frac{k}{2}]$, we see that W is closed under the action of A and B. Clearly, $\mathsf{y}W \subset W$. For $v \in V[\beta], \beta \neq 0$, we have

$$\mathsf{B}v = \left(\mathsf{sy} + \frac{k}{2}\right) v = \beta \mathsf{s}v + \frac{k}{2}v; \text{ so } \mathsf{s}v = \beta^{-1}\left(\mathsf{B} - \frac{k}{2}\right) v.$$

So $\mathsf{s}W \subset W$. Finally, $\mathsf{X} = \mathsf{sA}$, so $\mathsf{X}W \subset W$, and the proof is complete. □

Proof of Proposition 2.4. As in the proof of Proposition 2.3, we see that $V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$ whenever μ and d satisfy the conditions in the statement of Proposition 2.4. Similarly, for $\theta = \pm 1$, $V_{1,3}^{\theta}$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$ and for $c \in \mathbf{k}$, $V_{1,4}^{c}$ and $V_{1,5}^{c}$ are irreducible representations of $\mathbf{H}_1$.

Now, let V be an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$, and suppose that that $(\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2$ acts on V as b. Here $k \in \mathbf{F}_p$, so if $b \neq 0$, then $\frac{k}{2}$ is not a root of $f(y) = (y^p - y)^2 - b$, so the argument in the proof of Proposition 2.3 applies for $V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$. We will now assume that $b = 0$.

Now suppose B acts as zero on $V[\frac{k}{2}]$. Let $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_1$ be the subalgebra of $\mathbf{H}_1$ generated by A and B. From the proof of Lemma 4.11, we see that $V = \overline{\mathbf{H}}_1 v$ for any eigenvector of v of y. Since $\mathsf{A}^2 = 1$ and B^2 acts as a scalar on each eigenspace, it follows that V is spanned by

$$v, \mathsf{A}v, \mathsf{BA}v, \mathsf{ABA}v, \ldots, \mathsf{B}v, \mathsf{AB}v, \mathsf{BAB}v, \ldots$$

for each eigenvector v of y. If the eigenvalue of v is $\frac{k}{2}$, we conclude, from corollary 4.7 and from the fact that B acts as zero on $V[\frac{k}{2}]$, that

$$V[\frac{k}{2}] \text{ is spanned by } v, \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{BA})^{p-k-1}v. \tag{91}$$

Let $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{H}}}_1$ be the subalgebra of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_1$ generated by $\mathsf{A}(\mathsf{BA})^{p-k-1}$. Clearly, $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{H}}}_1$ is commutative, and since (91) holds for all nonzero $v \in V[\frac{k}{2}]$, we conclude that $V[\frac{k}{2}]$ is an irreducible representation of $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{H}}}$. By Schur's Lemma, $\mathsf{A}(\mathsf{BA})^{p-k-1}$ acts on $V[\frac{k}{2}]$ as a scalar, and thus $\dim V[\frac{k}{2}] = 1$. We then let $v_{\frac{k}{2}} \in V[\frac{k}{2}]$ be nonzero, and let

$$v_{-\frac{k}{2}-j} = \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{BA})^{j-1}v, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{k}{2};$$
$$v_{\frac{k}{2}+j} = (\mathsf{BA})^{j}v, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{k}{2}.$$

Now $\mathsf{A}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \theta v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ for some θ, and $\mathsf{A}^2 = 1$ implies $\theta = \pm 1$. From the above information, we can deduce that (23)–(28) are satisfied, and thus $V_{1,3}^{\theta} \subset V$. By irreducibility of V, we conclude that $V = V_{1,3}^{\theta}$. Since A acts on $V[\frac{p-1}{2}]$ through multiplication by θ, it follows that $V_{1,3}^{\theta}$ and $V_{1,3}^{\theta'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\theta = \theta'$.

Now suppose B does not act as zero on $V[\frac{k}{2}]$. Let v_0 be an eigenvector of y with eigenvalue 0, and let $w_0 = -\frac{1}{k}\mathsf{s}v_0$. Then $\mathsf{y}w_0 = v_0$. For $j = 0, \ldots, \frac{k}{2} - 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} v_j &= (\mathsf{BA})^j v_0 \\ w_j &= (\mathsf{BA})^j w_0 \\ v_{-j-1} &= -\mathsf{A}(\mathsf{BA})^j v_0 \\ w_{-j-1} &= \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{BA})^j w_0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that for each j, v_j and v_{-j-1} are eigenvectors of y with eigenvalue $j, -j-1$ respectively, and $\mathsf{y}w_j = jw_j + v_j, \mathsf{y}w_{-j-1} = (-j-1)w_{-j-1} + v_{-j-1}$. Now $\mathsf{B}v_{-\frac{k}{2}} = 0$, and

$$\mathsf{yB}w_{-\frac{k}{2}} = -\mathsf{By}w_{-\frac{k}{2}} = \frac{k}{2}\mathsf{B}w_{-\frac{k}{2}} - \mathsf{B}v_{-\frac{k}{2}} = \frac{k}{2}\mathsf{B}w_{-\frac{k}{2}}.$$

Thus, $w_{-\frac{k}{2}} \in V[\frac{k}{2}]$. Let us write $v_{\frac{k}{2}} = \mathsf{B}w_{-\frac{k}{2}}$; then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{s}w_{-\frac{k}{2}} &= -\frac{2}{k}v_{\frac{k}{2}} + \frac{2}{k}v_{-\frac{k}{2}} + w_{-\frac{k}{2}} \\
\text{and } w_{-\frac{k}{2}} = \mathsf{s}^2 w_{-\frac{k}{2}} &= -\frac{2}{k}\mathsf{s}v_{\frac{k}{2}} + \frac{2}{k}v_{-\frac{k}{2}} + \mathsf{s}w_{-\frac{k}{2}} \\
&= -\frac{2}{k}\mathsf{s}v_{\frac{k}{2}} + \frac{4}{k}v_{-\frac{k}{2}} - \frac{2}{k}z + w_{-\frac{k}{2}} \\
\Longrightarrow 0 &= -\mathsf{s}v_{\frac{k}{2}} + 2v_{-\frac{k}{2}} - v_{\frac{k}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, this implies that $v_{\frac{k}{2}} \neq 0$. For $j = \frac{k}{2}+1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{-j} &= -\mathsf{A}(\mathsf{BA})^{j-\frac{k}{2}-1}v_{\frac{k}{2}} \\
v_j &= (\mathsf{BA})^{j-\frac{k}{2}}v_{\frac{k}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now there are two cases:

First, suppose that $\mathsf{A}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = cv_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ for some $c \in \mathbf{k}$. Since $\mathsf{A}^2 = 1$, we see that $c = \pm 1$. Now let

$$W = \mathsf{span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}, w_{-\frac{k}{2}}, w_{-\frac{k}{2}+1}, \ldots, w_{\frac{k}{2}-1}\}.$$

From the above information, we can deduce that (29)–(42) are satisfied. Therefore, $V^c_{1,4} \subset V$. Since V is irreducible, we conclude that $V = V^c_{1,4}$. Since A acts as $c\,\mathsf{Id}$ on $V^c_{1,4}\left[\frac{p-1}{2}\right]$, we see that $V^c_{1,4}$ and $V^{c'}_{1,4}$ are isomorphic if and only if $c = c'$.

Second, suppose that $\mathsf{A}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ is not a scalar multiple of $v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$. In this case, we may write $\mathsf{A}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = u_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$, and then

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j} &= -\mathsf{B}(\mathsf{AB})^{j-1}u_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1-k}{2} \\
u_{\frac{p-1}{2}-j} &= (\mathsf{AB})^j u_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \quad j = 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1-k}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, u_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\}$ is linearly independent, it follows that $\{v_j, u_j\}$ is linearly independent for all $j = \frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2}+1, \ldots, -\frac{k}{2}-1$. Now let

$$W = \mathsf{span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}, w_{-\frac{k}{2}}, w_{-\frac{k}{2}+1}, \ldots, w_{\frac{k}{2}-1}, u_{\frac{k}{2}}, u_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, \ldots, u_{-\frac{k}{2}-1}\}.$$

Now, we know from lemma 4.9 that $\mathsf{dim}\, V_{\text{gen}}[-\frac{k}{2}] = 2$, and this forces $\mathsf{B}u_{\frac{k}{2}} = cv_{-\frac{k}{2}}$ for some $c \in \mathbf{k}$. From the above information, we can deduce that (43)–(60) are satisfied. Therefore, $V^c_{1,5} \subset V$. Since V is irreducible, we conclude that $V = V^c_{1,5}$. Since $\mathsf{B}(\mathsf{AB})^{p-k}$ acts as $c\,\mathsf{Id}$ on $V^c_{1,5}\left[-\frac{k}{2}\right]$, we see that $V^c_{1,5}$ and $V^{c'}_{1,5}$ are isomorphic if and only if $c = c'$. ☐

Lemma 4.12. *Suppose $k = 0$. Let V be an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$ on which the central element $(\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2$ acts as 0. Then each eigenspace $V[c], c \in \mathbf{F}_p$ has dimension at most 2.*

Proof. Let μ be an eigenvalue of y, and let $v \in V[\mu]$. Note that $0 = (\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2 v = (\mu^p - \mu)^2 v$, so we have $\mu^p - \mu = 0$. Hence, $\mu \in \mathbf{F}_p$. By corollary 4.7, we have the following homomorphisms:

$$V_{\mathrm{gen}}[0] \xrightarrow{iA} V_{\mathrm{gen}}[-1] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} V_{\mathrm{gen}}[1] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\mathsf{A}} V_{\mathrm{gen}}\left[\frac{p+1}{2}\right] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} V_{\mathrm{gen}}\left[\frac{p-1}{2}\right]. \qquad (92)$$

Since $k = 0$, corollary 4.7 implies that all of the homomorphisms in (92) are isomorphisms, and the eigenvalues of y are precisely the elements of $\mathbf{F}_p$. Thus, $\mathsf{dim}\, V \geq p\, \mathsf{dim}\, V[0]$. Now the dimension of the algebra $\mathbf{H}_1/(\mathsf{X}^p + \mathsf{X}^{-p} = a, (\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2 = 0)$ acting irreducibly on V is at most $8p^2$ (see the proof of corollary 4.3). Hence, $p^2(\mathsf{dim}\, V[0])^2 \leq (\mathsf{dim}\, V)^2 \leq 8p^2$, which implies that $\mathsf{dim}\, V[0] \leq 2$. ☐

Proof of Proposition 2.5. As in the proof of Proposition 2.3, we see that $V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$ whenever μ and d satisfy the conditions in the statement of Proposition 2.5. Similarly, for $c, \theta = \pm 1$, $V_{1,6}^{c,\theta}$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$ and for $c = \pm 1, a \in \mathbf{k}$, $V_{1,7}^{c,a}$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$.

Now, let V be an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_1$, and suppose that that $(\mathsf{y}^p - \mathsf{y})^2$ acts on V as b. If $b \neq 0$, then k is not a root of $f(y) = (y^p - y)^2 - b$, so the argument in the proof of Proposition 2.3 applies for $V_{1,1}^{\mu,d}$. We will now assume that $b = 0$. First we note that $\mathsf{sy} = -\mathsf{ys}$, which means that $\mathsf{s}V[0] \subset V[0]$. Now let $v_0 \in V[0]$ be an eigenvector of s. Since $\mathsf{s}^2 = 1$, we have $\mathsf{s}v_0 = cv_0$, where $c = \pm 1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} v_{-j} &= \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{BA})^{j-1} v_0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}; \\ v_j &= (\mathsf{BA})^j v_0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now there are two cases:

First, suppose that $\mathsf{A}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \theta v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ for some $\theta \in \mathbf{k}$. Since $\mathsf{A}^2 = 1$, we see that $\theta = \pm 1$. Now let

$$W = \mathsf{span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}\}.$$

From the above information, we can deduce that (61)–(66) are satisfied. Therefore, $V_{1,6}^{c,\theta} \subset V$. Since V is irreducible, we conclude that $V = V_{1,6}^{c,\theta}$. Since s acts on $V[0]$ as $c\,\mathsf{Id}$ and A acts on $V\left[\frac{p-1}{2}\right]$ as $\theta\,\mathsf{Id}$, we see that $V_{1,6}^{c,\theta}$ and $V_{1,6}^{c',\theta'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $c = c'$ and $\theta = \theta'$.

Second, suppose that $\mathsf{A}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ is not a scalar multiple of $v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$. In this case, we may write $\mathsf{A}v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = u_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j} &= \mathsf{B}(\mathsf{AB})^{j-1} u_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, \frac{p-3}{2} \\ u_{\frac{p-1}{2}-j} &= (\mathsf{AB})^j u_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \quad j = 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{v_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, u_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\}$ is linearly independent, it follows that $\{v_j, u_j\}$ is linearly independent for all j. Hence, by lemma 4.12, $V[0] = \mathsf{span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{v_0, u_0\}$, and this forces $\mathsf{s}u_0 = av_0 + ru_0$ for some $a, r \in \mathbf{k}$. Now

$$u_0 = \mathsf{s}^2 u_0 = a\mathsf{s}v_0 + r\mathsf{s}u_0 = acv_0 + arv_0 + r^2 u_0.$$

Thus $r = \pm 1$. Now if $c = r$, then $a = 0$. But then $v_0 - u_0$ is an eigenvector of s and A acts on $v_{\frac{p-1}{2}} - u_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ as a scalar, and so the first case shows us that V has a p-dimensional subrepresentation, contradicting V's irreducibility. So we may assume that $c = -r$; a is then arbitrary. Now we can see that the eigenvalues of s acting on $V[0]$ are $\pm c$; that is, ± 1. This means that we can assume $c = 1$. From all this information, we can deduce that (67)–(78) are satisfied. Therefore, $V_{1,7}^{a} \subset V$. Since V is irreducible, we conclude that $V = V_{1,7}^{a}$. Finally, a is the coefficient of v in $\mathsf{sA}(\mathsf{BA})^{p-1}v$; thus, $V_{1,7}^{a}$ and $V_{1,7}^{a'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $a = a'$. ☐

References

[BEG03] Yuri Berest, Pavel Etingof, and Victor Ginzburg. Finite-dimensional representations of rational Cherednik algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2003(19):1053–1088, 2003.

[Che95] Ivan Cherednik. Double affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald's conjectures. *Ann. of Math.*, 141(1):191–216, 1995.

[Che97] Ivan Cherednik. Intertwining operators of double affine Hecke algebras. *Selecta Math.*, 3:459–495, 1997.

[CO] Ivan Cherednik and Viktor Ostrik. From double Hecke algebra to Fourier transform. math.QA/0111130.

[EG02] Pavel Etingof and Victor Ginzburg. Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero–Moser space and deformed Harish–Chandra homomorphism. *Invent. Math.*, 147(2):243–348, 2002.

[FG] Michael Finkelberg and Victor Ginzburg. Cherednik algebras and Hilbert schemes in characteristic p. math.RT/0312474; appendix by Pavel Etingof.

[Lat] Frédéric Latour. Representations of rational Cherednik algebras of rank 1 in positive characteristic. math.RT/0307390.